IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

THURSDAY, THE 23RD AUGUST 2007 / 1ST BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25882 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

T. SYAMALAKUMARI, AGED 49 YEARS,
CLERK, CANARA BANK, ERNAKULAM SOUTH.

BY ADV. SMT.H.SUBHALEKSHMI
SRI.R.RAJAGOPAL

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. CANARA BANK,
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER, CIRCLE OFFICE,
M.G.ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE GENERAL MANAGER,
HRM SECTION, CANARA BANK, TRIVANDRUM CIRCLE,

3. THE BRANCH MANAGER,
CANARA BANK, ERNAKULAM SOUTH BRANCH,
CHITTOOR ROAD, ERNAKULAM.

4. THE GENERAL MANAGER,
HRM SECTION, CANARA BANK, CALICUT CIRCLE.

BY SRI.P.GOPINATHA MENON, SC,CANARA BANK

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 23/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 25882 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 23rd day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition is filed seeking to quash Ext.P4 order to the extent it transfers the petitioner from Ernakulam to Manjeri. Writ petitions were filed earlier by identically situated employees of the respondent Bank, and those cases have been dismissed by this court in WP(C) No.24661 of 2007 and connected cases, by judgment dated 21st August 2007 and therefore the issue raised is substantially covered against her.

However, in this case, I notice that the petitioner is a member of Canara Bank Workers Organisation and the said union has already approached the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram, who has initiated conciliation on the dispute relating to the transfer of the petitioner. Despite this, the learned counsel for the

petitioner contents that it is to accommodate members of the majority union that she has been transferred. It is also complained that only ladies are chosen for the transfer. According to the learned counsel, these are not issues which could be gone into by the Conciliation Officer. I am not able to agree with the counsel in this respect. If ultimately the conciliation proceedings fail and the matter ends up in adjudication, these are all issues which can certainly be urged before the adjudicating authority. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this writ petition and it is dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp